

New Mechanisms, Shortened Timelines: SIAC Consults on Draft Seventh Edition of SIAC Rules

September 2023 | Singapore



Introduction

Since its establishment in 1991, the <u>Singapore International Arbitration Centre</u> ("**SIAC**") has emerged as a leading global arbitration institution. Ranked second among the world's top five arbitral institutions, SIAC was also determined to be the most preferred arbitral institution in the Asia-Pacific in the 2021 Queen Mary University of London and White & Case International Arbitration Survey: Adapting Arbitration to a Changing World.

Part of SIAC's competitive edge is the SIAC Rules ("2016 Rules"), currently in their sixth edition, which provide a framework to ensure that SIAC arbitrations are administered in an efficient, cost-effective and flexible manner. Per the last revision, the 2016 Rules incorporated new procedures for multi-contract disputes and providing for the joinder of additional parties, among others.

To enhance the user experience and raise the bar on efficiency, expedition and cost-effectiveness, SIAC recently launched a public consultation on the draft 7th Edition of the SIAC Rules ("**Draft Rules**"). The consultation will run from 22 August 2023 to 21 November 2023. Key amendments proposed include:

- 1. the introduction of new mechanisms for preliminary determination, coordinated proceedings, and the use of a new online case management system;
- 2. the mandatory disclosure of third-party funding relationships and arrangements;
- 3. amendments to the rules on the constitution of the tribunal, including a new list procedure that the President of the SIAC Court ("**President**") may employ when appointing an arbitrator;
- 4. changes to the Emergency Arbitration procedure to improve its efficiency, such as shortened timelines;

in



- 5. changes to the Expedited Procedure, including the monetary limit on the amount in dispute; and
- 6. other general amendments, including information security measures and providing for virtual or hybrid hearings.

In this article, we provide an overview of the above key changes.

New Mechanisms

Preliminary Determination

Draft Rule 46 allows a party to apply to the Tribunal for a preliminary determination of any issue where:

- 1. the parties so agree;
- 2. the applicant demonstrates that doing so is likely to contribute to a savings of time and costs and a more efficient and expeditious resolution of the dispute; or
- 3. the circumstances so warrant.

If the Tribunal allows the application to proceed, the parties must be given the opportunity to be heard. Thereafter, the Tribunal shall make a decision, ruling, order or award (collectively "**Decision**") on the application, with reasons, within 45 days from the date the application was filed, unless the Registrar extends the time.

Coordinated Proceedings

Per Draft Rule 17, coordinated proceedings are where the same Tribunal is constituted in two or more arbitrations, and a common question of law or fact arises. In such cases, the Tribunal may determine that the coordinated arbitrations shall be conducted concurrently or sequentially, heard together, or suspended pending a determination in the other coordinated arbitration/s.

SIAC Gateway

The Draft Rules incorporate the use of SIAC's new online case management system, the SIAC Gateway. In particular:

- 1. the Registrar may direct that the parties shall be required to upload all written communications to the SIAC Gateway (Draft Rule 4.2);
- 2. any written communications shall be deemed to be received if they are uploaded to the SIAC Gateway by direction of the Registrar (Draft Rule 4.3); and
- 3. the Claimant may file the Notice of Arbitration online through the SIAC Gateway, subject to compliance with Draft Rule 4 (Draft Rule 6.1).

Disclosure of Third-Party Funding Relationships

In recent years, Singapore's legislative framework was amended to allow third-party funding for:

- 1. In 2017 international arbitration proceedings, including related court and mediation proceedings (please see our January 2017 Client Update titled "Singapore to Allow Third Party Funding in International Arbitration");
- 2. In 2021 domestic arbitration proceedings, including related court and mediation proceedings (please see our June 2021 Arbitration Asia article titled "Singapore Extends Scope of Permissible Third-Party Funding").





In light of the above, the Draft Rules propose that parties must include a statement on the existence of any third-party funding relationship, together with the identity and contact details of the third-party funder. This statement must be included in:

- 1. the Notice of Arbitration;
- 2. the Response to the Notice of Arbitration;
- 3. an application for consolidation of two or more arbitrations into a single arbitration;
- 4. an application for joinder of one or more additional parties to an arbitration; and
- 5. an application to appoint an emergency arbitrator.

Additionally, under Draft Rule 38, a party:

- 1. must disclose any third-party funding agreement ("Agreement") immediately upon concluding the same;
- 2. must immediately notify the Tribunal, the parties, and the Registrar of any changes to the disclosed Agreement; and
- 3. once the Tribunal has been constituted, shall not enter into an Agreement which may give rise to a conflict of interest with any member of the Tribunal.

Constitution of the Tribunal

Several new rules were introduced, namely:

- 1. New list procedure for appointment of arbitrator/s ("List Procedure") by the President (Draft Rule 19.8) Upon a party's request, the President may consider the use of the List Procedure, under which the President will provide a list of at least five names to the parties. Each party is to number the candidates in order of preference, and the President will appoint the arbitrator/s accordingly.
- 2. **Risk of unequal treatment that may affect enforceability of award** (Draft Rule 19.11) If an appointment procedure agreed upon by the parties gives rise to such a risk, the President may take any necessary measure to constitute an independent and impartial Tribunal. The parties will be deemed to have waived their right to participate in the constitution of the Tribunal, and any appointment may be revoked by the President.
- 3. Compliance with the SIAC's Code of Ethics for Arbitrators ("Code of Ethics") (Draft Rules 20.1, 29.1) Arbitrators appointed under the Draft Rules must conduct themselves in accordance with the Code of Ethics, as well as the Draft Rules and the Practice Notes (Guidelines published by the Registrar to supplement, regulate and implement the SIAC Rules) for the time being in force. Failing to do so will enable the President to initiate the removal of the arbitrator in question.
- 4. Challenge of an arbitrator who is a member of the SIAC Board or the SIAC Court (Draft Rule 28.6) A Committee of the SIAC Court will be constituted, and must include an external challenge committee member who shall be appointed according to the List Procedure.

Amended procedures include:

	2016 Rules	Draft Rules
Nomination of	Within 14 days after receipt of a	Within 21 days from the date of commencement of
arbitrator where three	party's nomination of an arbitrator	the arbitration by default (Draft Rule 22.1)
arbitrators are to be		
appointed		





	2016 Rules	Draft Rules
Grounds of challenge of arbitrator's appointment	Three grounds of challenge	 Two additional grounds (Draft Rule 26.1): Where the arbitrator becomes <i>de jure</i> or <i>de facto</i> unable to perform their functions; or Where the arbitrator fails to act or perform their functions in accordance with the Rules or within prescribed time limits.
Repetition of hearings upon replacement of an arbitrator	Hearings to be repeated by default unless otherwise agreed by the parties	Tribunal to decide whether hearings shall be repeated. Any hearings held previously relating solely to a Decision shall not be repeated and the Decision shall remain in effect (Draft Rule 30.2).

Emergency Arbitration Procedure

Several amendments to Schedule 1, which sets out the procedure for emergency arbitration, were proposed:

	2016 Rules	Draft Rules	
Filing of application	An application may only be filed	An application may now also be filed prior to the filing	
for emergency	concurrently with the filing of the	of the Notice of Arbitration (paragraph 2).	
arbitration	Notice of Arbitration, or any time		
	thereafter but prior to the constitution of the Tribunal.	If the Notice is not filed within five days from the Registrar's receipt of the application, the application shall be considered as withdrawn on a without prejudice basis unless the Registrar extends the time (paragraph 6).	
Notice of challenge to	Must be filed within two days of	Must be filed within 24 hours of the date of receipt of	
appointment of an	being notified of the appointment	the notice of appointment (paragraph 9(a))	
Emergency Arbitrator			
Making of order or award	Within 14 days from appointment	Within 10 days from appointment (paragraph 17)	

Expedited Procedure

	2016 Rules	Draft Rules
Amount in dispute	May not exceed <u>S\$6 million</u> ,	May not exceed <u>S\$10 million</u> at the time of
	representing the aggregate of the	application (Draft Rule 14.1(b))
	claim, counterclaim and any	
	defence of set-off	
Third ground for	A party may file an application for	A party may file an application for the arbitration to
application	the arbitration to be conducted in	be conducted in accordance with the Expedited
	accordance with the Expedited	Procedure where the circumstances of the case
	Procedure in cases of exceptional	warrant the application of the Expedited Procedure
	urgency.	(Draft Rule 14.1(c)).





	2016 Rule:	s		Draft Rules
Hearings	Tribunal determines hearing is required	whether	а	By default, the dispute shall be decided on the basis of written submissions and documentary evidence instead of a hearing. Further, any hearing shall be
				held virtually unless the parties agree otherwise (Draft Rule 14.2).

Other Amendments

	2016 Rules	Draft Rules
Early Dismissal –	Tribunal to make an order or award	Tribunal to make a Decision within 45 days from the
default timeline for	within 60 days from the date the	date the application was filed (Draft Rule 47.4)
order or award	application was filed	, ,
Scrutiny of award -	Tribunal to submit draft award not	Tribunal to submit draft award not later than 30 days
timeline for	later than 45 days from the closure	from the closure of proceedings (Draft Rule 53.1)
submission of draft	of proceedings	
award to Registrar		
Non-payment of	Any party is free to pay the whole	The Registrar may direct the other party to make
deposits for the costs	of the deposits.	payment of the deposits (Draft Rule 56.4).
of the arbitration		
Publication of	SIAC requires consent from the	Parties are deemed to have agreed that SIAC may
Decision	parties and the Tribunal to publish	publish any Decision and any reasoned decision by
	any Award.	the SIAC Court with party names and other
		identifying information redacted, unless a party
		provides a written objection within six months after
		the conclusion of the arbitration (Draft Rule 60).
Hybrid and virtual	Not explicitly permitted, although	Explicit provisions for the use of videoconference,
hearings	Rule 21.2 empowers the Tribunal	teleconference, or other forms of electronic
	to hold hearings and meetings "by	communication in place of in-person hearings
	any means it considers expedient	
	or appropriate".	
Information security	N/A	The Tribunal shall discuss information security
		measures with and give directions to the parties. In
		the event of non-compliance, the Tribunal may take
		appropriate measures, including issuing an order or
		award for sanctions, damages, or costs (Draft Rule
		61).
Enforcement of	N/A	The Tribunal may take measures to protect trade
confidentiality		secrets (Draft Rule 59.5).
obligations regarding		
trade secrets		





Concluding Remarks

The Draft Rules draw from SIAC's experience of administering more than 3,000 international cases under the 2016 Rules, and aim to improve the SIAC Rules' efficiency and cost-effectiveness. For instance, incorporating the SIAC Gateway and providing for virtual and hybrid hearings will keep the SIAC Rules up to date with modern technology, while the creation of new mechanisms for preliminary determinations and coordinated proceedings assist in streamlining an arbitration.

The proposed changes represent an ambitious undertaking by a leading arbitral institute to introduce sweeping changes that would enhance an already fluid and popular framework even further. The proposals respond not just to areas that have called for improvements, but truly position the SIAC Rules for the wider adoption of arbitration as an effective means of international dispute resolution in years to come.

Across Rajah & Tann Asia's geographical footprint in Southeast Asia, other arbitral institutions have also recently revised their rules. As covered by our leading *Arbitration Asia* partners:

- 1. the <u>Singapore Chamber of Maritime Arbitration</u> ("**SCMA**") <u>launched the Fourth Edition of the SCMA Rules</u> to streamline proceedings and adopt electronic methods, among others;
- 2. the <u>Asian International Arbitration Centre</u> (AIAC) <u>published its Arbitration Rules 2021</u> to allow for a new summary determination mechanism and the consolidation of multi-contract disputes, among others;
- the <u>National Commercial Arbitration Centre of Cambodia</u> ("NCAC") <u>revised its rules</u> to introduce an expedited procedure and provide for an emergency arbitrator, and more recently in 2023 has also <u>adopted mediation rules</u> to allow NCAC to provide mediation services; and
- 4. the Thai Arbitration Institute (TAI) amended its rules to provide for an expedited procedure.

Visit <u>Arbitration Asia</u> for insights from our thought leaders across Asia concerning arbitration and other alternative dispute resolution mechanisms, ranging from legal and case law developments to market updates and many more.



Contacts



Partner, Singapore
T +65 6232 0182
kim.beng.ng@rajahtann.com

Ng Kim Beng



Partner, Singapore
T +65 6232 0403
kelvin.poon@rajahtann.com

Kelvin Poon, SC



Matthew Koh
Partner, Singapore
T +65 6232 0917
matthew.koh@rajahtann.com



Devathas Satianathan
Partner, Singapore
T +65 6232 0238
devathas.satianathan@rajahtann.com

Please feel free to contact the editorial team of Arbitration Asia at arbitrationasia@rajahtannasia.com, and follow us on LinkedIn here.

Rajah & Tann Asia is a network of member firms with local legal practices in Cambodia, Indonesia, Lao PDR, Malaysia, Myanmar, the Philippines, Singapore, Thailand, and Vietnam. Our Asian network also includes our regional office in China as well as regional desks focused on Brunei, Japan, and South Asia. Member firms are independently constituted and regulated in accordance with relevant local requirements.

The contents of this article are owned by Rajah & Tann Asia together with each of its member firms and are subject to all relevant protection (including but not limited to copyright protection) under the laws of each of the countries where the member firm operates and, through international treaties, other countries. No part of this article may be reproduced, licensed, sold, published, transmitted, modified, adapted, publicly displayed, broadcast (including storage in any medium by electronic means whether or not transiently for any purpose save as permitted herein) without the prior written permission of Rajah & Tann Asia or its respective member firms.

Please note also that whilst the information on this article is correct to the best of our knowledge and belief at the time of writing, it is only intended to provide a general guide to the subject matter and should not be treated as legal advice or a substitute for specific professional advice for any particular course of action as such information may not suit your specific business and operational requirements. You should seek legal advice for your specific situation. In addition, the information on this article does not create any relationship, whether legally binding or otherwise. Rajah & Tann Asia and its member firms do not accept, and fully disclaim, responsibility for any loss or damage which may result from accessing or relying on the information on this article.