RAJAH & TANN ASIA LAWYERS WHO KNOW ASIA

Restructuring & Insolvency

Priority to Proceeds of Sale of Bankrupt's Property – Contests between Judgment Creditor and Estate

Introduction

The questions of who has priority over a bankrupt's assets and precisely when the priority arises are important ones in bankruptcy. For judgment creditors who have already taken steps towards enforcement, the answer affects whether they will have prior rights to the bankrupt's property, ahead of the bankrupt's other creditors.

In Abuthahir s/o Abdul Gafoor v Bangkok Bank Public Co Ltd [2022] SGHC 274, a judgment creditor and the bankrupt's estate both laid claim to the surplus proceeds from a mortgagee's sale of the bankrupt's property. The High Court held that the judgment creditor, who had registered an attachment order against the bankrupt's interest in the property and had issued a writ of seizure and sale ("**WSS**") prior to bankruptcy, had priority over the surplus proceeds. This was even though the surplus proceeds did not arise from a sale of the property under the judgment creditor's WSS.

In reaching its decision, the High Court clarified when the execution of an order against property is deemed to be completed. The Court also highlighted certain seemingly inconsistent provisions in the Insolvency, Restructuring and Dissolution Act 2018 ("**IRDA**") on priorities.

The Court's decision provides greater clarity on the priority of rights over a bankrupt's property. This Update provides a summary of the key points of the Court's judgment.

Brief Facts

Having obtained judgment against a debtor, the Judgment Creditor obtained a separate order for the debtor's interest in her Property to be attached and taken in execution to satisfy its judgment. The attachment order was duly registered with the Singapore Land Authority. Following registration, the Judgment Creditor issued a WSS in respect of the debtor's interest in the Property. Ultimately, the Sheriff appointed by the Judgment Creditor did not sell the Property pursuant to the WSS, as that process was led by the debtor's mortgagee via a mortgagee sale.

The debtor was subsequently adjudged a bankrupt, and disputes arose between her Private Trustee and the Judgment Creditor on entitlements to the surplus proceeds of sale.





WHO KNOW

Restructuring & Insolvency

High Court's Holding

The Court held that the Judgment Creditor was entitled to the surplus proceeds in priority over the bankrupt's estate. In reaching its decision, the Court considered:

- (a) When the Judgment Creditor's execution against the bankrupt's interest in the Property was deemed completed; and
- (b) Which provision in the IRDA applied in the circumstances (which would in turn determine who was entitled to the surplus proceeds).

Completion of execution

The Court held that, pursuant to section 367(2)(c) of the IRDA, the Defendant completed its execution against the bankrupt's interest in the Property when the attachment order was registered with the Singapore Land Authority. Section 367(2)(c) provides that:

"...an enforcement order against land or any interest in land is completed by registering under any written law relating to the registration of land an enforcement order for seizure and sale of property attaching the interest of the bankrupt in the land described in the enforcement order for seizure and sale of property."

The Court rejected the submission that execution under section 367(2)(c) is completed only upon sale by the Sheriff appointed by the Judgement Creditor.

Entitlement to proceeds

The Court then considered whether section 367(1) or section 368(4) of the IRDA applied in this case. The difficulty was that both sections appeared inconsistent with each other:

- Section 367(1) provides that the judgment creditor is entitled to the benefit of an enforcement or attachment if:
 - \circ $\;$ The creditor has issued execution against the goods or lands of the bankrupt; and
 - The creditor has completed the execution before the date of the bankruptcy order.
- Section 368(4) provides that the Official Assignee is entitled to the proceeds of sale if:
 - A WSS is filed;
 - The seized property is sold pursuant to the WSS;
 - o The Sheriff receives the proceeds of the sale; and

RAJAH & TANN ASIA LAWYERS WHO KNOW A SIA

Restructuring & Insolvency

• The Sheriff is notified of the bankruptcy application, and the bankruptcy order is made, within 14 days from receipt of the proceeds.

The Court observed that there appeared to be an inconsistency between section 367(1) and section 368(4). This was because any case that fell within section 368(4) would also fall within section 367(1) - a judgment creditor would have had to complete execution against the property before filing a WSS. The Court opined that the only way to reconcile the two provisions would be to restrict section 367(1) to cases which do not fall within section 368(4). However, there appeared to be no apparent reason justifying such a distinction. Accordingly, the Court noted that section 367(1) and section 368(4) may need to be reviewed.

In any event, the Court did not have to resolve the apparent inconsistency in the present case. This was because the Property was sold by the mortgagee and not by the Sheriff under the WSS. As the proceeds did not go to the Sheriff, the commencement of the 14-day period under section 368(4) was not triggered. As such, section 367(1) applied in favour of the Judgment Creditor.

Concluding Words

This case demonstrates the complications that may arise regarding priorities over a bankrupt's assets, and underscores the importance of taking swift action – whether on the part of the Estate to notify the Sheriff of a bankruptcy order, or on the part of a judgment creditor to effect swift execution after judgment.

For further queries, please feel free to contact our team below.

Contact



Wilson Zhu Partner, Restructuring & Insolvency

T +65 6232 0490

wilson.zhu@rajahtann.com

Please feel free to also contact Knowledge and Risk Management at eOASIS@rajahtann.com

RAJAH & TANN ASIA

LAWYERS WHO KNOW ASIA

Our Regional Contacts

RAJAH & TANN | *Singapore* Rajah & Tann Singapore LLP T +65 6535 3600 sg.rajahtannasia.com

R&T SOK & HENG | Cambodia

R&T Sok & Heng Law Office T +855 23 963 112 / 113 F +855 23 963 116 kh.rajahtannasia.com

RAJAH & TANN 立杰上海 SHANGHAI REPRESENTATIVE OFFICE | *China*

Rajah & Tann Singapore LLP Shanghai Representative Office T +86 21 6120 8818 F +86 21 6120 8820 cn.rajahtannasia.com

ASSEGAF HAMZAH & PARTNERS | Indonesia Assegaf Hamzah & Partners

Jakarta Office

T +62 21 2555 7800 F +62 21 2555 7899

Surabaya Office

T +62 31 5116 4550 F +62 31 5116 4560 www.ahp.co.id

RAJAH & TANN | *Lao PDR* Rajah & Tann (Laos) Co., Ltd. T +856 21 454 239

F +856 21 285 261 la.rajahtannasia.com

CHRISTOPHER & LEE ONG | Malaysia

Christopher & Lee Ong T +60 3 2273 1919 F +60 3 2273 8310 www.christopherleeong.com

RAJAH & TANN | *Myanmar*

Rajah & Tann Myanmar Company Limited T +95 1 9345 343 / +95 1 9345 346 F +95 1 9345 348 mm.rajahtannasia.com

GATMAYTAN YAP PATACSIL

GUTIERREZ & PROTACIO (C&G LAW) | *Philippines* Gatmaytan Yap Patacsil Gutierrez & Protacio (C&G Law) T +632 8894 0377 to 79 / +632 8894 4931 to 32 F +632 8552 1977 to 78 www.cagatlaw.com

RAJAH & TANN | *Thailand*

R&T Asia (Thailand) Limited T +66 2 656 1991 F +66 2 656 0833 th.rajahtannasia.com

RAJAH & TANN LCT LAWYERS | *Vietnam* Rajah & Tann LCT Lawyers

Ho Chi Minh City Office

T +84 28 3821 2382 / +84 28 3821 2673 F +84 28 3520 8206

Hanoi Office

T +84 24 3267 6127 F +84 24 3267 6128 www.rajahtannlct.com

Rajah & Tann Asia is a network of legal practices based in Asia.

Member firms are independently constituted and regulated in accordance with relevant local legal requirements. Services provided by a member firm are governed by the terms of engagement between the member firm and the client.

This update is solely intended to provide general information and does not provide any advice or create any relationship, whether legally binding or otherwise. Rajah & Tann Asia and its member firms do not accept, and fully disclaim, responsibility for any loss or damage which may result from accessing or relying on this update.

RAJAH & TANN ASIA

LAWYERS WHO KNOW ASIA

Our Regional Presence



Rajah & Tann Singapore LLP is one of the largest full-service law firms in Singapore, providing high quality advice to an impressive list of clients. We place strong emphasis on promptness, accessibility and reliability in dealing with clients. At the same time, the firm strives towards a practical yet creative approach in dealing with business and commercial problems. As the Singapore member firm of the Lex Mundi Network, we are able to offer access to excellent legal expertise in more than 100 countries.

Rajah & Tann Singapore LLP is part of Rajah & Tann Asia, a network of local law firms in Cambodia, China, Indonesia, Lao PDR, Malaysia, Myanmar, the Philippines, Singapore, Thailand and Vietnam. Our Asian network also includes regional desks focused on Brunei, Japan and South Asia.

The contents of this Update are owned by Rajah & Tann Singapore LLP and subject to copyright protection under the laws of Singapore and, through international treaties, other countries. No part of this Update may be reproduced, licensed, sold, published, transmitted, modified, adapted, publicly displayed, broadcast (including storage in any medium by electronic means whether or not transiently for any purpose save as permitted herein) without the prior written permission of Rajah & Tann Singapore LLP.

Please note also that whilst the information in this Update is correct to the best of our knowledge and belief at the time of writing, it is only intended to provide a general guide to the subject matter and should not be treated as a substitute for specific professional advice for any particular course of action as such information may not suit your specific business and operational requirements. It is to your advantage to seek legal advice for your specific situation. In this regard, you may call the lawyer you normally deal with in Rajah & Tann Singapore LLP or email Knowledge & Risk Management at eOASIS@rajahtann.com.